8. Maximus/Minimus

8.1 Defined

A real-valued function \( f \) defined on a domain \( X \) has a **global** (or **absolute**)
maximum point at \( x^* \) if \( f(x^*) \geq f(x) \) for all \( x \) in \( X \). Similarly, the function has a **global** (or **absolute**) minimum point at \( x^* \) if \( f(x^*) \leq f(x) \) for all \( x \) in \( X \). The value of
the function at a maximum point is called the maximum value of the function and
the value of the function at a minimum point is called the minimum value of the
function.

If the domain \( X \) is a metric space then \( f \) is said to have a **local** (or **relative**)
maximum point at the point \( x^* \) if there exists some \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that \( f(x^*) \geq f(x) \) for
all \( x \) in \( X \) within distance \( \varepsilon \) of \( x^* \). Similarly, the function has a **local minimum**
point at \( x^* \) if \( f(x^*) \leq f(x) \) for all \( x \) in \( X \) within distance \( \varepsilon \) of \( x^* \). A similar definition
can be used when \( X \) is a topological space, since the definition just given can be
rephrased in terms of neighborhoods. Note that a global maximum point is always
a local maximum point, and similarly for minimum points.

In both the global and local cases, the concept of a **strict** extremum can be defined.
For example, \( x^* \) is a **strict global maximum point** if, for all \( x \) in \( X \) with \( x \neq x^* \), we
have \( f(x^*) > f(x) \), and \( x^* \) is a **strict local maximum point** if there exists some \( \varepsilon > 0 \)
such that, for all \( x \) in \( X \) within distance \( \varepsilon \) of \( x^* \) with \( x \neq x^* \), we have \( f(x^*) > f(x) \). Note
that a point is a strict global maximum point if and only if it is the unique global
maximum point, and similarly for minimum points.

A continuous real-valued function with a compact domain always has a maximum
point and a minimum point. An important example is a function whose domain is a
closed (and bounded) interval of real numbers

**In mathematics**, the **maximum** and **minimum** (plural: maxima and minima) of a
function, known collectively as **extrema** (singular: extremum), are the largest and
smallest value that the function takes at a point either within a given neighborhood
(local or relative extremum) or on the function domain in its entirety (global or
absolute extremum). Pierre de Fermat was one of the first mathematicians to
propose a general technique (called adequality) for finding maxima and minima.

More generally, the maximum and minimum of a set (as defined in set theory) are
the greatest and least element in the set. Unbounded infinite sets such as the set of
real numbers have no minimum and maximum.
8.2 Finding functional maxima and minima

Finding global maxima and minima is the goal of mathematical optimization. If a function is continuous on a closed interval, then by the extreme value theorem global maxima and minima exist. Furthermore, a global maximum (or minimum) either must be a local maximum (or minimum) in the interior of the domain, or must lie on the boundary of the domain. So a method of finding a global maximum (or minimum) is to look at all the local maxima (or minima) in the interior, and also look at the maxima (or minima) of the points on the boundary; and take the biggest (or smallest) one.

Local extrema can be found by Fermat's theorem, which states that they must occur at critical points. One can distinguish whether a critical point is a local maximum or local minimum by using the first derivative test, second derivative test, or higher-order derivative test, given sufficient differentiability.

For any function that is defined piecewise, one finds a maximum (or minimum) by finding the maximum (or minimum) of each piece separately; and then seeing which one is biggest (or smallest).

Examples

The global maximum of $\sqrt{x}$ occurs at $x = e$.

- The function $x^2$ has a unique global minimum at $x = 0$.
- The function $x^3$ has no global minima or maxima. Although the first derivative ($3x^2$) is 0 at $x = 0$, this is an inflection point.
- The function $\sqrt{x}$ has a unique global maximum at $x = e$. (See figure at right)
- The function $x^x$ has a unique global maximum over the positive real numbers at $x = 1/e$.
- The function $x^3/3 - x$ has first derivative $x^2 - 1$ and second derivative $2x$. Setting the first derivative to 0 and solving for $x$ gives stationary points at $-1$ and $+1$. From the sign of the second derivative we can see that $-1$ is a local
maximum and +1 is a local minimum. Note that this function has no global maximum or minimum.

- The function $|x|$ has a global minimum at $x = 0$ that cannot be found by taking derivatives, because the derivative does not exist at $x = 0$.
- The function $\cos(x)$ has infinitely many global maxima at $0, \pm 2\pi, \pm 4\pi, \ldots$, and infinitely many global minima at $\pm \pi, \pm 3\pi, \ldots$.
- The function $2\cos(x) - x$ has infinitely many local maxima and minima, but no global maximum or minimum.
- The function $\cos(3\pi x)/x$ with $0.1 \leq x \leq 1.1$ has a global maximum at $x = 0.1$ (a boundary), a global minimum near $x = 0.3$, a local maximum near $x = 0.6$, and a local minimum near $x = 1.0$. (See figure at top of page.)
- The function $x^3 + 3x^2 - 2x + 1$ defined over the closed interval (segment) $[-4,2]$ has a local maximum at $x = -1 - \sqrt{5}/3$, a local minimum at $x = -1 + \sqrt{5}/3$, a global maximum at $x = 2$ and a global minimum at $x = -4$.

### 8.3 Functions of more than one variable

For functions of more than one variable, similar conditions apply. For example, in the (enlargeable) figure at the right, the necessary conditions for a local maximum are similar to those of a function with only one variable. The first partial derivatives as to $z$ (the variable to be maximized) are zero at the maximum (the glowing dot on top in the figure). The second partial derivatives are negative. These are only necessary, not sufficient, conditions for a local maximum because of the possibility of a saddle point. For use of these conditions to solve for a maximum, the function $z$ must also be differentiable throughout. The second partial derivative test can help classify the point as a relative maximum or relative minimum. In contrast, there are substantial differences between functions of one variable and functions of more than one variable in the identification of global extrema. For example, if a bounded differentiable function $f$ defined on a closed interval in the real line has a single critical point, which is a local minimum, then it
is also a global minimum (use the intermediate value theorem and Rolle's theorem to prove this by reductio ad absurdum)

**Maxima or minima of a functional**

If the domain of a function for which an extremum is to be found is itself a function, i.e., if an extremum is to be found of a functional, the extremum is found using the calculus of variations.

### 8.4 In relation to sets

**Maxima and minima** can also be defined for sets. In general, if an ordered set $S$ has a greatest element $m$, $m$ is a maximal element. Furthermore, if $S$ is a subset of an ordered set $T$ and $m$ is the greatest element of $S$ with respect to order induced by $T$, $m$ is a least upper bound of $S$ in $T$. The similar result holds for least element, minimal element and greatest lower bound.

In the case of a general partial order, the **least element** (smaller than all other) should not be confused with a **minimal element** (nothing is smaller). Likewise, a **greatest element** of a partially ordered set (poset) is an upper bound of the set which is contained within the set, whereas a **maximal element** $m$ of a poset $A$ is an element of $A$ such that if $m \leq b$ (for any $b$ in $A$) then $m = b$. Any least element or greatest element of a poset is unique, but a poset can have several minimal or maximal elements. If a poset has more than one maximal element, then these elements will not be mutually comparable.

**In a totally ordered set**, or *chain*, all elements are mutually comparable, so such a set can have at most one minimal element and at most one maximal element. Then, due to mutual comparability, the minimal element will also be the least element and the maximal element will also be the greatest element. Thus in a totally ordered set we can simply use the terms **minimum** and **maximum**.

If a chain is finite then it will always have a maximum and a minimum. If a chain is infinite then it need not have a maximum or a minimum. For example, the set of natural numbers has no maximum, though it has a minimum. If an infinite chain $S$ is bounded, then the closure $Cl(S)$ of the set occasionally has a minimum and a maximum, in such case they are called the **greatest lower bound** and the **least upper bound** of the set $S$, respectively.
8.5 Statistics

In statistics, the **sample maximum** and **sample minimum**, also called the **largest observation**, and **smallest observation**, are the values of the greatest and least elements of a sample. They are basic summary statistics, used in descriptive statistics such as the **five-number summary** and **seven-number summary** and the associated **box plot**.

**The minimum** and the maximum value are the first and last **order statistics** (often denoted $X_{(1)}$ and $X_{(n)}$ respectively, for a sample size of $n$).

If there are outliers, they necessarily include the sample maximum or sample minimum, or both, depending on whether they are extremely high or low. However, the sample maximum and minimum need not be outliers, if they are not unusually far from other observations.

The sample maximum and minimum are the **least robust statistics**: they are maximally sensitive to outliers. This can either be an advantage or a drawback: if extreme values are real (not measurement errors), and of real consequence, as in applications of **extreme value theory** such as building dikes or financial loss, then outliers (as reflected in sample extrema) are important.

On the other hand, if outliers have little or no impact on actual outcomes, then using non-robust statistics such as the sample extrema simply cloud the statistics, and robust alternatives should be used, such as other **quantiles**: the 10th and 90th **percentiles** (first and last **decile**) are more robust alternatives.

**Prediction interval**

The sample maximum and minimum provide a non-parametric **prediction interval**: in a sample set from a population, or more generally an **exchangeable sequence** of random variables, each sample is equally likely to be the maximum or minimum.

Thus if one has a sample set $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ and one picks another sample $X_{n+1}$, then this has $1/(n+1)$ probability of being the largest value seen so far, $1/(n+1)$ probability of being the smallest value seen so far, and thus the other $(n-1)/(n+1)$ of the time, $X_{n+1}$ falls between the sample maximum and sample minimum of $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$. Thus, denoting the sample maximum and minimum by $M$ and $m$, this yields an $(n-1)/(n+1)$ prediction interval of $[m,M]$. 
For example, if \( n=19 \), then \([m,M]\) gives an \( 18/20 = 90\% \) prediction interval – 90\% of the time, the 20th observation falls between the smallest and largest observation seen heretofore. Likewise, \( n=39 \) gives a 95\% prediction interval, and \( n=199 \) gives a 99\% prediction interval.